IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

WEDNESDAY, THE 20TH DECEMBER 2006 / 29TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

RP.No. 1110 of 2006(P)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.32064/2005 Dated 22/12/2005
.....

: REVIEW PETITIONER:

ABDUL RAHIMANKUNJU, MANIKKAKUNNEL,
CHAVARA P.O., KOTTAKKAVU.

BY ADV. SRI.T.M.ABDUL LATHEEF

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, KOLLAM.

2. CHAVARA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.Q.186, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, CHAVARA.

3. SECRETARY, CHAVARA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.Q.186, CHAVARA.

4. SALE OFFICER, QUILON SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK, QUILON.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.A.KHADER KUNHU

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 20/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.M.JOSEPH, J.

R.P.No.1110 OF 2006

Dated this the 20th day of December,2006.

JUDGMENT

This court had disposed of the writ petition permitting the petitioner to pay the amount due as per Ext.P2 in 18 equal monthly instalments. In the review petition, the contention is that there is a right existing in favour of the petitioner to clear the liability by availing one time settlement. It is stated that Bank is also agreed to settle the liability, but in view of the direction to pay the entire amount in instalments they are taking the stand that if any leniency is shown, the same would result in acting contrary to the direction of this court.

2. I heard learned counsel for the Bank. He would submit that the Bank is prepared to consider the case of the petitioner for one time settlement. I make it clear that the judgment in the writ petition will not stand in the way of the bank considering the request of the petitioner for one time settlement.

Review petition is disposed of as above.

K.M.JOSEPH.
JUDGE.

sv.